

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Chairman,
The Hon'ble Sayeed Ahmed Baba, Administrative Member

Case No. – OA 279 of 2014

Anjana Roy Basunia - VERSUS - THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order	For the Applicant	: Mr. N.C. Mondal, Ms. M. Chatterjee, Advocates.
$\frac{7}{10.12.2021}$	For the State respondents	: Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, Advocates.

In this application, Anjana Roy Basunia, the applicant, has prayed for certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under :

“a) An order do issue directing the concerned respondent authorities to show cause as to why the relief prayed for hereunder should not be granted and upon receiving the reply to the show cause and perusing the same if it is not found to be satisfactory the concerned Respondent authorities should be directed to appoint the applicant on compassionate ground in place of her deceased father in the office of the Respondent no. 1 and or any other suitable post under the Government of West Bengal.

b)

c) To direct the authorities and or the concerned Respondent authority to consider the application of the applicant and or the representation from the proper perspective and in accordance with law.”

At the very outset, Mr. G.P. Banerjee, learned advocate, appearing on behalf of the State respondents submits that the application is not maintainable as the claim of the applicant was rejected on 21st March, 2002, as evident from the memo dated 21st March, 2002, being annexure C to the original application which has not been challenged in the instant

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Anjana Roy Basunia

Case No. **OA 279 of 2014**

Vs.

State of W.B. & Others

application. Further, the application is not maintainable in view of the provisions relating to limitation as stipulated in Section 21 of the West Bengal Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

Heard Mr. N.C. Mondal, learned advocate for the applicant. Since we find from the order contained in the memo dated 21st March, 2002 that the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected, which has not been challenged in this application, no order can be passed on the application.

The application is disposed of.

(SAYEED AHMED BABA)
MEMBER (A)

(SOUMITRA PAL)
CHAIRMAN

Rajib